

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/721,101	HILL ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Inder P. Mehra	2666	

All Participants:

(1) Inder P. Mehra, Assistant Examiner.

(2) Craig A. Hoersten, Attorney, Regd. No. 38,917.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 13 June 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
 None

Claims discussed:
 Claims 37 and 48

Prior art documents discussed:
 None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

As set in Examiner, amendment, see current office action

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Inder Pal Mehra 6/18/05

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)